Russian Journal of Organic Chemistry, Vol. 40, No. 3, 2004, pp. 311–315. Translated from Zhurnal Organicheskoi Khimii, Vol. 40, No. 3, 2004, pp. 344–347. Original Russian Text Copyright © 2004 by Popova, Yurashevich, Knizhnikov.

N-Benzyl Derivatives of Leucine Esters

L. A. Popova, N. Ya. Yurashevich, and V. A. Knizhnikov

Institute of Physical Organic Chemistry, National Academy of Sciences of Belarus, ul. Surganova 13, Minsk, 220072 Belarus

Received January 29, 2003

Abstract—Leucine methyl and ethyl esters reacted with 3-bromobenzaldehyde and 4-chlorobenzaldehyde in anhydrous methanol in the presence of magnesium sulfate to afford the coresponding Schiff bases of the general formula $(CH_3)_2CHCH_2CH(COOR^1)N=CHR^2$ [$R^1 = CH_3$, C_2H_5 , $R^2 = 3-BrC_6H_4$, $4-ClC_6H_4$]. Their reduction with sodium tetrahydridoborate yielded *N*-benzyl derivatives $(CH_3)_2CHCH_2CH(COOR^1)NHCH_2R^2$, which were converted into *N*-acyl-*N*-benzyl derivatives $(CH_3)_2CHCH_2CH(COOR^1)N(COR^3)CH_2R^2$ [$R^3 = CH_3$, C_6H_5].

N-Acylated amino acids exhibit versatile biological activity, and they have found wide application as medicines, cosmetic and hygienic agents, and food additives. Some compounds of this series are effective fungicides and pesticides. The presence of an acyl fragment makes their molecules hydrophobic and thus endows them with surfactant properties [1]. Taking into account the above stated, it becomes clear why considerable attention is still given to the synthesis of new N-acyl derivatives of amino acids and study of their biological activity, despite a great number of known representatives. From the viewpoint of synthesis of new physiologically active substances, quite promising are N-acyl derivatives of N-aryl- or Nalkyl-substituted amino acids in which the aryl or alkyl moiety contains halogen or phosphorus atoms, nitro groups, etc. In the present communication we report on the preparation of N-acyl-N-benzylleucine derivatives. While performing this study, specific attention was given to the possibility of synthesizing N-benzyl derivatives of leucine by reduction of the corresponding N-benzylidene compounds with sodium tetrahydridoborate.

The required initial Schiff bases were prepared by reaction of leucine methyl or ethyl ester with an equimolar amount of 4-chloro- or 3-bromobenzaldehyde in anhydrous methanol in the presence of magnesium sulfate (Scheme 1). Compounds **II–V** are high-boiling substances which are soluble in common organic solvents. Their structure was confirmed by the ¹H NMR (Table 1) and IR spectra and analytical data (Table 2). Schiff bases II–V were reduced with 1.2 equiv of sodium tetrahydridoborate in the corresponding alcohol to obtain *N*-benzyl-substituted leucine esters VI–IX (Scheme 2). The reduction of ethyl esters IV and V with sodium tetrahydridoborate in methyl alcohol was accompanied by transesterification; as a result, compounds VI and VII, respectively, were isolated. In the presence of excess sodium tetrahydridoborate, the reduction process also involves the ester groups, leading to the corresponding amino alcohols X and XI. Their formation follows from the IR and NMR spectral data and elemental analyses.



Ia, II, III, $R^1 = CH_3$; Ib, IV, V, $R^1 = C_2H_5$; II, IV, $R^2 = 3$ -BrC₆H₄; III, V, $R^2 = 4$ -ClC₆H₄.

In the ¹³C NMR spectrum of compound **X** in CDCl₃, signals from the methyl carbon atoms were located at δ_C 22.51 and 22.79 ppm, signals from the methylene groups appeared at δ_C 41.02, 50.24, and 63.06 ppm, methine carbon atoms gave signals at

1070-4280/04/4003-0311 © 2004 MAIK "Nauka/Interperiodica"

Comp. no.	(CH ₃) ₂ CHCH ₂	\mathbf{R}^1	СН	=CH or CH ₂	R^2
II	0.88 d (3H, <i>J</i> = 6.0) 0.93 d (3H, <i>J</i> = 6.1) 1.19–2.0 m (3H)	3.72 s (3H)	4.08 t (<i>J</i> = 7.2)	8.21 s	7.1–8.0 m (4H)
III	0.87 d (3H, <i>J</i> = 6.0) 0.92 d (3H, <i>J</i> = 6.1) 1.15–1.95 m (3H)	3.70 s (3H)	4.08 t (<i>J</i> = 7.0)	8.23 s	7.32 d (2H, $J = 8.5$), 7.69 d (2H, $J = 8.5$)
IV	0.88 d (3H, <i>J</i> = 6.0) 0.93 d (3H, <i>J</i> = 6.1) 1.30–1.95 m (3H)	1.25 t (3H, <i>J</i> = 7.1), 4.18 q (2H, <i>J</i> = 7.1)	4.07 t (<i>J</i> = 7.0)	8.22 s	7.23–7.96 m (4H)
V	0.90 d (3H, <i>J</i> = 6.2) 0.95 d (3H, <i>J</i> = 6.2) 1.30–1.96 m (3H)	1.28 t (3H, <i>J</i> = 7.2), 4.18 q (2H, <i>J</i> = 7.1)	4.09 t (<i>J</i> = 7.0)	8.25 s	7.38 d (2H, <i>J</i> = 8.6), 7.74 d (2H, <i>J</i> = 8.6)
VI ^a	0.83 d (3H, <i>J</i> = 6.3) 0.89 d (3H, <i>J</i> = 6.3) 1.34–1.85 m (3H)	3.68 s (3H)	3.25 t (<i>J</i> = 7.1)	3.66 d (<i>J</i> = 11)	7.10–7.50 m (4H)
VII	0.84 d (3H, <i>J</i> = 6.2) 0.90 d (3H, <i>J</i> = 6.1) 1.20–1.80 m (3H)	3.70 s (3H)	3.26 t (<i>J</i> = 7.1)	3.68 d (<i>J</i> = 11)	7.25 s (4H)
VIII	0.92 d (3H, <i>J</i> = 6.2) 0.98 d (3H, <i>J</i> = 6.2) 1.20–2.00 m (3H)	1.33 t (3H, <i>J</i> = 7.0), 4.25 q (2H, <i>J</i> = 7.1)	3.30 t (<i>J</i> = 7.1)	3.75 d (<i>J</i> = 10)	7.10–7.60 m (4H)
IX	0.81 d (3H, <i>J</i> = 6.2) 0.87 d (3H, <i>J</i> = 6.2) 1.32–2.00 m (3H)	1.21 t (3H, <i>J</i> = 7.1), 4.12 q (2H, <i>J</i> = 7.1)	3.21 t (<i>J</i> = 7.3)	3.62 d (<i>J</i> = 9.6)	7.20 s (4H)
a c	4.04 (777) 4.00 (7777) 0				

Table 1. ¹H NMR spectra of leucine derivatives **II–IX** in CDCl₃, δ , ppm (*J*, Hz)

^a δ_{NH}, ppm: 1.96 (VI), 1.83 (VII), 2.44 (VIII), 2.26 (IX)

 $δ_{\rm C}$ 24.76 and 56.09 ppm, and aromatic carbon signals were observed at $δ_{\rm C}$ 122.35, 126.48, 129.82, 129.96, 130.91, and 142.58 ppm. The ¹³C NMR spectrum of **XI** (CDCl₃) contained the following signals, $δ_{\rm C}$, ppm: 22.10, 22.91 (CH₃); 39.32, 49.21, 62.15 (CH₂); 24.66, 56.15 (CH); 128.47, 129.82, 133.16, 136.05 (C_{arom}). Protons from the methyl groups in compound **X** appeared in the ¹H NMR spectrum as two doublets at δ 0.89 (3H, J = 6.6 Hz) and 0.91 ppm (3H, J =6.6 Hz); methylene proton signals were located at δ 1.1–1.3 (m, 1H), 1.35–1.45 (m, 1H), 3.29 (d, 1H,







J = 10.8 Hz), 3.65 (d, 1H, J = 10.8 Hz), and 3.77 ppm (d, 2H, J = 6.2 Hz); methine protons gave rise to two multiplets at δ 1.56–1.71 and 2.69–2.78 ppm; and the



 $\begin{array}{l} \textbf{XII}, \ R^1 = CH_3, \ R^2 = 3\text{-}BrC_6H_4, \ R^3 = CH_3; \ \textbf{XIII}, \ R^1 = CH_3, \\ R^2 = 3\text{-}BrC_6H_4, \ R^3 = C_6H_5; \ \textbf{XIV}, \ R^2 = 4\text{-}ClC_6H_4, \ R^3 = CH_3, \\ XV, \ R^1 = CH_3, \ R^2 = 4\text{-}ClC_6H_4, \ R^3 = C_6H_5; \ \textbf{XVI}, \ R^1 = C_2H_5, \\ R^2 = 3\text{-}BrC_6H_4, \ R^3 = CH_3; \ \textbf{XVII}, \ R^1 = C_2H_5, \ R^2 = 3\text{-}BrC_6H_4, \\ R^3 = C_6H_5; \ \textbf{XVIII}, \ R^1 = C_2H_5, \ R^2 = 4\text{-}ClC_6H_4, \ R^3 = CH_3; \\ \textbf{XIX}, \ R^1 = C_2H_5, \ R^2 = 4\text{-}ClC_6H_4, \ R^3 = CH_3; \\ \textbf{XIX}, \ R^1 = C_2H_5, \ R^2 = 4\text{-}ClC_6H_4, \ R^3 = C_6H_5. \end{array}$

RUSSIAN JOURNAL OF ORGANIC CHEMISTRY Vol. 40 No. 3 2004

312

IR spectrum, Found, % Calculated, % Yield, bp, °C Comp v, cm^{-1} $n_{\rm D}^{20}$ Formula % no. (*p*, mm) C=O C=N С Ν С Η Ν Η 1.5391 1743 54.02 5.98 4.33 53.86 84 138-139 (0.03) 1643 $C_{14}H_{18}BrNO_2$ 5.81 4.49 Π ш 140-141 (0.03) 1.5320 1743 81 1643 62.97 4.98 C₁₄H₁₈ClNO₂ 62.80 6.78 5.23 6.64 IV 1.5336 1739 81 135-136 (0.03) 1643 55.47 6.36 4.18 C₁₅H₂₀BrNO₂ 55.23 6.18 4.29 V 80 126-127 (0.02) 1.5254 1736 1642 64.21 5.02 C₁₅H₂₀ClNO₂ 63.94 7.15 4.97 7.36 VI 85 125-126 (4) 1.5218 1735 53.68 4.23 C₁₄H₂₀BrNO₂ 6.71 53.51 6.42 4.46 _ 1.5092 1736 7.54 VII 84 119-120 (2) _ 62.50 4.93 $C_{14}H_{20}CINO_2$ 62.33 7.43 5.19 VIII 118-120 (3) 1.5196 1731 55.02 80 6.87 4.03 $C_{15}H_{22}BrNO_2$ 54.89 6.76 4.27 _ 7.98 IX 77 129-130 (2) 1.5075 1731 63.60 4.86 C₁₅H₂₂ClNO₂ 63.48 7.81 4.94 _

Table 2. Yields, boiling points, refractive indices, IR spectral parameters, and elemental analyses of compounds II-IX

Table 3. ¹H NMR spectra of leucine derivatives (CH₃)₂CHCH₂CH(COOR¹)NR³CH₂R²(**XII–XIX**) in CDCl₃, δ , ppm (*J*, Hz)

Comp. no.	(CH ₃) ₂ CHCH ₂	NCH	NCH ₂	R^1	R^2 , R^3	
XII	0.79 d (3H, <i>J</i> = 6.1), 0.87 d (3H, <i>J</i> = 6.0), 1.20–1.95 m (3H)	4.81 t (<i>J</i> = 7.2)	4.54 s	3.57 s (3H)	7.05–7.53 m (4H), 2.05 s (3H)	
XIII	0.68 d (3H, <i>J</i> = 6.1), 0.75 d (3H, <i>J</i> = 6.1), 1.15–1.95 m (3H)	4.41 t (<i>J</i> = 7.0)	4.52 s	3.53 s (3H)	7.01–7.62 m (9H)	
XIV	0.67 d (3H, <i>J</i> = 6.1), 0.76 d (3H, <i>J</i> = 6.2), 1.02–1.82 m (3H)	4.74 t (<i>J</i> = 7.2)	4.42 s	3.46 s (3H)	7.03–7.21 m (4H), 1.95 s (3H)	
XV	0.66 d (3H, <i>J</i> = 6.1), 0.74 d (3H, <i>J</i> = 6.1), 1.15–1.92 m (3H)	4.44 t (<i>J</i> = 7.3)	4.54 s	3.55 s (3H)	7.08–7.43 m (9H)	
XVI	0.65 d (3H, <i>J</i> = 6.1), 0.72 d (3H, <i>J</i> = 6.1), 1.15–1.72 m (3H)	4.63 t (<i>J</i> = 7.2)	4.35 s	1.04 t (3H, <i>J</i> = 7.1) 3.86 q (2H, <i>J</i> = 7.2)	6.90–7.42 m (4H), 1.88 s (3H)	
XVII	0.66 d (3H, <i>J</i> = 6.1), 0.73 d (3H, <i>J</i> = 6.1), 1.35–1.92 m (3H)	4.33 t (<i>J</i> = 7.2)	4.54 s	1.21 t (3H, <i>J</i> = 7.2) 4.04 q (2H, <i>J</i> = 7.2)	7.0–7.58 m (9H)	
XVIII	0.63 d (3H, <i>J</i> = 6.1), 0.71 d (3H, <i>J</i> = 6.1), 1.15–1.78 m (3H)	4.57 t (<i>J</i> = 7.1)	4.41 s	1.02 t (3H, <i>J</i> = 7.1) 3.82 q (2H, <i>J</i> = 7.0)	6.90–7.32 m (4H), 1.81 s (3H)	
XIX	0.66 d (3H, J = 6.1), 0.74 d (3H, J = 6.1), 1.25–1.90 m (3H)	4.34 t (<i>J</i> = 7.2)	4.56 s	1.12 t (3H, <i>J</i> = 7.1) 3.89 q (2H, <i>J</i> = 7.1)	7.05–7.48 m (9H)	

POPOVA et al.

Comp. no.	Yield, %	IR spectrum, v, cm^{-1}		Found, %				Calculated, %		
		C=O ester	C=O amide	С	Н	Ν	Formula	С	Н	Ν
XII	80	1740	1656	54.12	6.43	3.77	C ₁₆ H ₂₂ BrNO ₃	53.93	6.22	3.93
XIII	81	1742	1644	60.41	5.94	3.38	$C_{21}H_{24}BrNO_3$	60.29	5.78	3.35
XIV	78	1741	1655	61.77	7.25	4.33	$C_{16}H_{22}ClNO_3$	61.63	7.11	4.49
XV	79	1742	1644	67.38	6.54	3.88	$C_{21}H_{24}ClNO_3$	67.46	6.47	3.75
XVI	79	1737	1653	55.32	6.69	3.65	C ₁₇ H ₂₄ BrNO ₃	55.14	6.53	3.78
XVII	79	1738	1643	61.23	6.18	3.08	C ₂₂ H ₂₆ BrNO ₃	61.12	6.06	3.24
XVIII	78	1736	1655	62.83	7.55	4.45	C ₁₇ H ₂₄ ClNO ₃	62.67	7.42	4.30
XIX	79	1737	1644	68.04	6.82	3.65	$C_{22}H_{26}CINO_3$	68.12	6.76	3.61

Table 4. Yields, IR spectral parameters, and elemental analyses of N-acyl-N-benzylleucine esters XII-XIX

singlet at δ 1.98 ppm was assigned to the NH proton. The following signals were found in the ¹H NMR spectrum of **XI**, δ , ppm: 0.84 d (3H, CH₃, J = 6.6 Hz), 0.87 d (3H, CH₃, J = 6.3 Hz), 1.24–1.41 m (2H, CH₂), 3.33 d (1H, J = 11.1 Hz), 3.65 d (1H, CH₂, J =11.1 Hz), 3.79 d (2H, CH₂, J = 12.1 Hz); 1.54–1.63 m (1H, CH), 2.68–2.81 m (1H, CH), 1.98 s (NH).

Compounds **XII–XIX** were synthesized by treatment of *N*-benzyl derivatives **VI–IX** with benzoyl or acetyl chloride in the presence of triethylamine (Scheme 3). *N*-Acyl-*N*-benzylleucine esters **XII–XIX** are oily substances, which are soluble in organic solvents. Their structure was confirmed by the IR and ¹H NMR spectra and elemental analyses (Tables 3, 4).

EXPERIMENTAL

The IR spectra were recorded on a Protege-460 Fourier spectrometer from samples prepared as KBr pellets. The ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra were obtained on Tesla BS-567A and Bruker DPX-300 spectrometers from solutions in CDCl₃; the chemical shifts were measured relative to TMS. Initial leucine methyl and ethyl esters were prepared by standard procedures [2, 3].

Schiff bases II–V (general procedure). To a solution of 50 mmol of leucine methyl or ethyl ester in 120 ml of anhydrous methanol we added 51 mmol of the corresponding aldehyde and 10 g of calcined magnesium sulfate. The mixture was stirred for 48 h at room temperature, the precipitate was filtered off, and the filtrate was evaporated under reduced pressure. The residue was extracted with hexane, the extract was filtered, and the solvent was removed. The products were purified by vacuum distillation. Their yields and some physical parameters are given in Table 2.

N-Benzylleucine esters VI–IX (general procedure). To a solution of 40 mmol of Schiff base II–V in 50 ml of the corresponding anhydrous alcohol we added at -30° C 44 mmol of sodium tetrahydridoborate. The mixture was stirred first for 3 h at -30° C and then for 10 h at room temperature, 44 mmol of glacial acetic acid was added, and the mixture was stirred for 1 h and filtered. The solvent was removed under reduced pressure, the residue was extracted with hexane, the extract was filtered, and the solvent was distilled off from the filtrate. The products were purified by vacuum distillation. Their yields and some physical parameters are given in Table 2.

2-(*m***-Bromobenzylamino)-4-methylpentan-1-ol (X).** To a solution of 6.24 g (20 mmol) of compound **II** in 70 ml of anhydrous methanol we added at -30° C 2.28 g (60 mmol) of sodium tetrahydridoborate. The mixture was allowed to warm up to room temperature, stirred for 8 h, and left overnight. Glacial acetic acid, 3.6 g (60 mmol), was then added, the mixture was stirred for 2 h and filtered, and the filtrate was evaporated under reduced pressure. The residue was extracted with diethyl ether, the extract was filtered and evaporated, and the residue was recrystallized from hexane. Yield 2 g (70%), mp 57–58°C. Found, %: C 54.72; H 7.25; N 4.77. C₁₃H₂₀BrNO. Calculated, %: C 54.56; H 7.04; N 4.89.

2-(*p*-Chlorobenzylamino)-4-methylpentan-1-ol (XI) was synthesized in a similar way from 5.64 g (20 mmol) of compound V and 2.28 g (60 mmol) of sodium tetrahydridoborate in anhydrous ethanol. Yield 1.57 g (65%), mp 65–67°C. Found, %: C 64.72;

RUSSIAN JOURNAL OF ORGANIC CHEMISTRY Vol. 40 No. 3 2004

H 8.56; N 5.63. $C_{13}H_{20}CINO$. Calculated, %: C 64.59; H 8.34; N 5.79.

N-Acyl-*N*-benzylleucine esters XII–XIX (general procedure). To a solution of 5 mmol of compound VI–IX in 50 ml of dry diethyl ether we added 5.1 mmol of triethylamine, and a solution of 5.1 mmol of acetyl or benzoyl chloride was then added dropwise under vigorous stirring. The mixture was stirred for 7 h at room temperature, the precipitate was filtered off, and the solvent was removed from the filtrate under reduced pressure. The residue was extracted with hot

hexane, the extract was filtered and cooled to -20° C, and the precipitate was separated and dried under reduced pressure. The yields, IR spectra, and elemental analyses of the products are given in Table 4.

REFERENCES

- 1. Mikhalkin, A.P., Usp. Khim., 1995, vol. 64, p. 275.
- 2. Brenner, M. and Huber, W., Helv. Chim. Acta, 1953, vol. 36, p. 1109.
- 3. Segel, E., J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1952, vol. 74, p. 1096.